Journal of Psychiatric Research 53 (2014) 157-165



Journal of Psychiatric Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/psychires

Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in Japan: Results from the World Mental Health Japan Survey



^a Department of Mental Health, School of Public Health, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan ^b Health Administration and Psychosocial Factor Research Group, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Japan

^c Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, USA

^d Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 March 2013 Received in revised form 8 January 2014 Accepted 20 January 2014

Keywords: Traumatic event PTSD 12-Month and lifetime prevalence Conditional risk Gender

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study was to report the prevalence of trauma exposure and PTSD, conditional risk of PTSD associated with each trauma exposure in the community population in Japan. An interview survey was conducted of a random sample of adult residents in 11 communities of Japan. Among 4134 respondents (response rate, 55%), data from those who completed the part 2 interview (*n* = 1682) were analyzed with a weight for this subsample. Lifetime experiences of 27 trauma events and PTSD were assessed using the WHO-Composite International Diagnostic Interview version 3.0. Sixty percent of the part 2 sample reported exposure to at least one lifetime traumatic event. Lifetime and 12-month PTSD prevalences were 1.3% and 0.7%, respectively. Percentage of all months lived with PTSD in the population was predominantly accounted for by physical/sexual assaults and having a child with serious illness, and unexpected death of loved one. Ten percent of respondents reported "private events", for which respondents did not have to describe the content, which accounted for 19% of months with PTSD. The lower prevalence of PTSD in Japan seems attributable to lower conditional risks of PTSD following these events, as well as different distributions of the events. The greater impact of events that occurred to loved ones rather than to oneself and "private events" on PTSD in Japan warrants further research of cross-cultural assessment of trauma exposure and cultural heterogeneity in the trauma-PTSD relationship.

Crown Copyright © 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) occurs after exposure to traumatic events and is characterized by symptoms of reexperiencing, numbing and hyperarousal. Lifetime exposure to traumatic events is very common ranging from 50% to 80% in community samples (Atwoli et al., 2013; Kessler et al., 1995; Mills et al., 2011; Norris et al., 2003; Seedat et al., 2009) and a primary care sample (Bruce et al., 2001). However, the vast majority of persons exposed to a traumatic event do not develop PTSD. The prevalence of PTSD varies widely across countries (Karam et al., 2014; Kessler et al., 2007; Matsuoka et al., 2010). For instance, the World Health Organization (WHO) Word Mental Health

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 3 5841 3521; fax: +81 3 3841 3392.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.01.015

Surveys reported that mean 12-month prevalence of PTSD was 1.1%, but the prevalence ranged from 0.4% to 3.8%, among 20 participating countries (Karam et al., 2014). The 12 month prevalence of PTSD was high (3-6%) in Western countries, such as the US (Kessler et al., 2005a; Kessler et al., 2005b; Kessler and Wang, 2008; Pietrzak et al., 2011), Australia (Chapman et al., 2012; Mills et al., 2011) and New Zealand (Oakley Browne et al., 2006; Wells et al., 2006), and was low among Asian countries (0.7% in Korea and 0.2% in China)(Cho et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2006). This crossnational variation in prevalence of PTSD could be explained by differential prevalences of traumatic exposures and/or a conditional risk of PTSD by the type of trauma exposure (Breslau et al., 1998; Bruce et al., 2001; Kessler et al., 1995; Norris et al., 2003; Pietrzak et al., 2011; Zlotnick et al., 2006), both of which could vary among countries depending on the social and cultural background. However, information of the trauma exposure patterns and the conditional risk of PTSD following exposure to trauma in low prevalence countries, such as Asia, is still lacking.







E-mail addresses: kawakami@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp (N. Kawakami), m-tsuchi@umin. ac.jp (M. Tsuchiya), makiumeda-tky@umin.ac.jp (M. Umeda), kck5@columbia.edu (K.C. Koenen), kessler@hcp.med.harvard.edu (R.C. Kessler).

^{0022-3956/}Crown Copyright © 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In Japan, 12-month prevalence of PTSD was reported as 0.4% (Kawakami et al., 2005), which is lower than ones reported in the US (Kessler et al., 2005a, 2005b) and New Zealand (Oakley Browne et al., 2006; Wells et al., 2006); it was close to those in Korea and China (Cho et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2006). While traumatic events were reported to be quite common (80%) among women in a primary care sample (Mizuta et al., 2005), traumatic events related to crime and violence are less frequent in Japan (OECD., 2009). On the other hand, Japan is at a higher risk of natural disaster (United Nations University Institute for Environment and Human Security, 2012), which may contribute to the increased risk for psychological distress and PTSD in Japan (Fukuda et al., 2000; Goto et al., 2002; Kato and Iwai, 2000; Kuwabara et al., 2008; Suzuki et al., 2011). Motor vehicle accident (Hamanaka et al., 2006; Matsuoka et al., 2008; Nishi et al., 2013), the illness of one's own (Akechi et al., 2004) or families (Nagata et al., 2008), bereavement (Shirai et al., 2005), terrorism attack(Kawana et al., 2001; Ohtani et al., 2004), and being atomic bomb survivors (Ohta, 2002) were also reported to be associated with PTSD in Japan. However, these studies are methodologically weak, of samples only exposed to traumas, often using a symptom checklist to identify PTSD cases, and none was based on a whole community population. It would be interesting to know how a unique pattern of the exposure to traumatic events and the conditional risk of PTSD associated with such events in Japan contribute to the lower prevalence of PTSD in this country, and to know if there is a particular trauma which has a greater impact on PTSD in Japan than in Western countries. However, there is no previous study which has provided such an overall picture of trauma exposure and PTSD in the whole community population of Japan, using comparative methodology with previous surveys in the US and Europe.

For demographic correlates of PTSD, previous research has reported that female gender (Creamer et al., 2001; Kessler et al., 1995; Pietrzak et al., 2011), younger age (Creamer et al., 2001) or middleage (Pietrzak et al., 2011) and being previously married or single (Atwoli et al., 2013; Creamer et al., 2001; Kessler et al., 1995; Pietrzak et al., 2011) and poor socioeconomic position (Carey et al., 2003; Pietrzak et al., 2011) were associated with PTSD. Women were at higher risk of PTSD than men, even after controlling for type of trauma (Breslau et al., 1999; Kessler et al., 1995); so were women being previously married (Kessler et al., 1995). Previous exposure to trauma signaled a greater risk of PTSD from subsequent trauma (Breslau et al., 1999). There is still limited research on the demographic-trauma exposure-PTSD associations outside Western countries.

The purpose of the study was three-fold. First, the study reports 12-month and lifetime prevalences of PTSD in the community population in Japan, based on the World Mental Health Survey Japan (WMH-J). While we already reported a 12-month prevalence based on part of the sample in this survey done in 2002 and 2003 (Kawakami et al., 2005), the study updates the prevalences using a larger final sample collected between 2002 and 2006. Second, the study reports lifetime prevalences of trauma exposure, conditional risk of PTSD associated with trauma exposure, and proportion of PTSD cases attributable to each trauma exposure. Third, the study reports demographic correlates of lifetime PTSD.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample

Analysis was conducted on the data collected by WMH-J from 2002 until 2006 (Kawakami et al., 2005), which was a part of the cross-national World Mental Health surveys (WMH)(Kessler et al., 2006). Survey participants were community residents aged 20

years or older and were randomly selected from voter registration lists or resident registries at 11 collaborating survey sites.

2.2. Data collection

Participants were interviewed face-to-face using a computerassisted personal-interview. The instrument used in this study was the Japanese translation of the World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WHO-CIDI), version 3.0 (Kessler and Ustun, 2004), a fully structured diagnostic interview that was developed to make an adequate diagnosis in a research setting, which is conducted by trained lay interviewers. All participants were administered part 1 of the interview for core diagnostic assessment (n = 4,134, response rate = 55.1%). To decrease respondents' burden of the interview, part 2 of the interview was only administered to a random sample (n = 1682) of respondents to the part 1 interview. This internal sampling was done so that all respondents with a history of mental disorders based on the part 1 interview and a probability sample (9% for three sites, and 20% for the remaining sites) of respondents without the history were interviewed in the part 2. The current study used the subset of the part 2 sample, which enquired about traumatic events and PTSD.

The participation in this study was completely voluntary, and anonymity and confidentiality were assured. Written consent was obtained from each respondent. The human subject committees of Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry, and Pharmaceutical Sciences; Japan National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry; Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences; Yamagata University Graduate School of Medical Science; and Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine approved the recruitment, consent, and field procedures.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Trauma exposure

The PTSD module of the WHO-CIDI assessed the lifetime experience of 27 traumatic events. Traumatic events were categorized into 6 event classes as follows: (1) war events (seven events), (2) physical violence (five events), (3) sexual violence events (three events), (4) accidents (six events), (5) death (unexpected death of a loved one only), (6) network events (five events) (see Table 1 for the detailed list). An additional question inquired about other traumatic events not included in this list. Positive responses to this question were followed further detailed probing, and the results were reviewed by a trained clinical rater to determine whether the events qualified as trauma. A final open-ended question obtained information about qualifying events that respondents did not report because of embarrassment, and this event was coded as 'private events'.

2.3.2. PTSD assessment

Lifetime PTSD was assessed based on the respondents' selfreported worst lifetime trauma and on one randomly selected trauma from all those reported by the respondent as ever having occurred to him/her. Weights were applied to the randomlyselected traumatic events to adjust for the fact that they represent only a sample of the respondent's lifetime traumas. This produced a weighted dataset in which each trauma was represented in the proportion it occurred in the population. The remaining criteria for PTSD were assessed for each worst and each random event. Criterion A2 was considered met if the respondent endorsed any of three questions about whether, at the time of the traumatic event, he/she felt terrified or very frightened, helpless, shocked, or horrified. The remaining criteria were then assessed whether or not A2

Prevalence of trauma exposure in the World Mental Health Japan Surveys (part 2 sample, n = 1682).

Event type	% Of respondents with event	SE	Mean number of occurrences among respondents with any ^a	SE
No events	39.3	1.66		
War events	8.7	0.9	1.3	0.12
Combat experience	2.0	0.55	1	0
Relief worker in war zone	0.1	0.06	1	0
Civilian in war zone	5.2	0.63	1	0
Civilian in region of terror	0.2	0.1	1	0
Refugee	0.5	0.13	1	0
Purposely injured, tortured, or killed someone	0.4	0.21	4.3	0.65
Saw atrocities	1.2	0.34	1.7	0.49
Physical violence	16.5	1.15	2.1	0.15
Kidnapped	0.7	0.24	1	0
Beaten up by caregiver	6.9	0.82	1	0
Beaten up by spouse or romantic partner	4.4	0.54	1	0
Beaten up by someone else	7.3	0.72	2.6	0.15
Mugged or threatened with a weapon	2.1	0.4	2	0.36
Sexual violence	4.3	0.64	2.7	0.27
Raped	0.6	0.17	1.6	0.17
Sexually assaulted	1.7	0.4	2.4	0.38
Stalked	2.7	0.46	2.3	0.31
Accident	22.8	1.53	1.8	0.13
Toxic chemical exposure	0.8	0.31	2.3	0.62
Automobile accident	6.5	0.79	1.4	0.09
Other life threatening accident	4.1	0.66	1.7	0.29
Natural disaster	5.4	0.73	1.3	0.07
Man-made disaster	1.7	0.38	1.3	0.18
Life-threatening illness	10.3	1.07	1.3	0.09
Death	23.7	1.43	1.6	0.1
Unexpected death of loved one	23.7	1.43	1.6	0.1
Network events	30.2	1.48	2	0.12
Child with serious illness	6.1	0.94	1.2	0.05
Traumatic event to loved one	1.1	0.32	2.2	0.64
Witnessed death/dead body, or saw someone seriously hurt	18.0	1.06	2.1	0.16
Accidentally caused serious injury or death	1.4	0.34	1.5	0.27
Witnessed physical fight at home	10.6	0.95	1	0
Other	13.7	1.26	1.1	0.02
Some other event	4.7	0.79	1	0
Private event	10.3	1.07	1	0
Total with any event	60.7	1.66	3.5	0.2

^a Mean number of occurrences among respondents with any event. For six event classes, $\chi_7 = 140.8$, p < 0.001. For individual events, $\chi_{17} = 268.7$, p < 0.001.

was endorsed with structured questions about reexperiencing (criterion B), avoidance-numbing (criterion C), arousal (criterion D), duration (criterion E), and clinically significant distress or impairment (criterion F). A retrospective question also asked respondents how many months each of symptoms defined in criteria B, C, and D lasted. The longest duration of any of the symptoms was used to define the duration of PTSD and residual symptoms in this study, which may be longer than the duration of full-symptom PTSD.

2.3.3. Socio-demographic variables

Five socio-demographic variables were included in the analysis: gender, age (18–29 years, 30–44 years, 45–59 years, and 60 years or older), marital status (married, previously married and never married), years of education (0–9 years, 10–12 years, 13–15 years, 16 or more years), and employment status (employed, homemaker, retired and others including unemployed and students). Socio-demographic variables with multiple categories were dummy coded for analytic purposes (reference groups include age 60+, married, 16 or more years of education, and employed).

2.3.4. Statistical analysis

Prevalences of trauma exposure and conditional risk of PTSD were examined using cross-tabulations. The relative burden of PTSD was also calculated which refers to the percentage of all months (or years) lived with PTSD (or residual symptoms) in the population due to episodes associated with each class of events. The relative burden is a combination of three factors: the prevalence of the PTE, the conditional risk of PTSD following the PTE and the PTSD symptom duration.

A series of four logistic regression models (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000) were used to examine predictors of trauma events and lifetime PTSD. Model 1 examined sociodemographic predictors of traumatic events. Model 2 tested whether sociodemographics were associated with lifetime PTSD. Model 3 evaluated the extent to which the coefficients in model 2 changed when we focused only on respondents who were exposed to one or more traumas. Model 4 evaluated additional changes in coefficients when we also adjusted for the classes of traumas and prior lifetime trauma exposure.

Table 1

To adjust for the weighting for non-response and part 2 sampling, standard errors were estimated using the Taylor series method (Wolter, 1985) implemented in the SUDAAN software system (SUDAAN, 2005). Multivariate significance was evaluated with Wald χ^2 tests based on design-corrected coefficient variance– covariance matrices. Statistical significance was consistently evaluated using 0.05 level two-sided tests.

3. Results

3.1. Trauma exposure

Approximately sixty percent of the respondents (n = 1138) reported exposure to at least one traumatic event in their lifetime. A total of 1207 events (either random or worst events) were reported in the sample. The left column of Table 1 shows prevalences of traumatic events. Among the six traumatic event classes, network events (30.2%) was the most prevalent followed by unexpected death of a loved one (23.7%) and accidents (22.8%). The right column of Table 1 shows the mean number of each event class or individual event occurred to a respondent who had that traumatic event. Respondents who had any traumatic event experienced an event 3.5 times on average.

3.2. Prevalence of PTSD

Out of the total respondents (n = 1682), 38 fulfilled the criteria of lifetime PTSD, and 19 did 12-month PTSD. The lifetime prevalence (SE) of PTSD was 1.3 (0.2)%; 12-month prevalence of PTSD was 0.7 (0.2)%.

3.3. Impact of traumatic events on PTSD

The first column of Table 2 shows the conditional risk of PTSD among respondents with a traumatic event class/individual event. The highest conditional risk was observed for physical violence (3.4%) and "other events" (7.5%), as an event class. For individual events, a higher conditional risk of PTSD was observed for being beaten up by someone else (5.7%), raped (5.6%), and having child with serious illness (4.4%). In addition, respondents who reported some other event (9.6%) and private event (6.5%) had higher conditional risk of PTSD. Mean duration of PTSD among the lifetime cases was about 60 months (the second column of Table 3).

Table 2

Conditional risks of lifetime PTSD, PTSD duration, and proportion of PTSD months in the population accounted for by specific events in the World Mental Health Japan Surveys (part 2 sample, n = 1682).

War events ³ Physical violence 3.4 2.5 26.0 13.5 34.4 15.7 Kidnapped 0 0 0 0 0.5 0.6<	Event type	Conditional risk of PTSD among respondents with an event $(\%)^b$	SE	Mean duration of PTSD episode in month ^c	SE	% Of PTSD months in the population accounted for by an event ^d	SE
Physical violence 3.4 2.5 26.0 13.5 34.4 15.7 Kidnapped 0	War events ^a						
kidapped 0 0 Beaten up by caregiver 0.3 0.2 30.9.8 109.4 0.6 0.5 Beaten up by spouse or romantic partner 1.7 1.1 80.2 40.2 2.2 1.5 Beaten up by spouse or romantic partner 1.7 1.1 80.2 40.2 2.2 1.5 Beaten up by spouse or romantic partner 1.7 1.1 16.6 6.1 31.6 16.3 Mugged or threatened with a weapon 0 0 0 1.7 1.4 1.6.3 1.7 Raped 5.6 4.6 33.7 13.0 1.7 1.4 Sexually assaulted 0 0 0 0 0 0.6 0.6 Accident 0.1 <0.1	Physical violence	3.4	2.5	26.0	13.5	34.4	15.7
Beaten up by caregiver 0.3 0.2 309.8 109.4 0.6 0.5 Beaten up by spouse or romantic partner 1.7 1.1 80.2 40.2 2.2 1.5 Beaten up by someone else 5.7 4.1 16.6 6.1 31.6 16.3 Mugged or threatened with a weapon 0 0 0 1.7 1.4 16.6 6.1 31.6 16.3 Sexual violence 0.7 0.4 28.1 1.7.1 2.3 1.7 1.4 Sexually assaulted 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.6 <td>Kidnapped</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Kidnapped	0	0				
Beaten up by spouse or romantic partner 1.7 1.1 80.2 40.2 2.2 1.5 Beaten up by someone else 5.7 4.1 16.6 6.1 31.6 16.3 Mugged or threatened with a weapon 0 0 0 17 1.4 16.6 6.1 31.6 16.3 Sexual violence 0.7 0.4 28.1 17.1 2.3 1.7 Raped 5.6 4.6 33.7 13.0 1.7 1.4 Sexually assaulted 0 0 0 1.4 0	Beaten up by caregiver	0.3	0.2	309.8	109.4	0.6	0.5
Beaten up by someone else 5.7 4.1 16.6 6.1 31.6 16.3 Mugged or threatened with a weapon 0 0 0 17 1.4 16.6 6.1 31.6 16.3 Sexual violence 0.7 0.4 28.1 17.1 2.3 1.7 Raped 5.6 4.6 33.7 13.0 1.7 1.4 Sexually assaulted 0 0 0 0 0.6 0.6 Stalked 0.3 0.3 12.0 0 0.6 0.6 Accident 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Automobile accident 0	Beaten up by spouse or romantic partner	1.7	1.1	80.2	40.2	2.2	1.5
Mugged or threatened with a weapon 0 0 Sexual violence 0.7 0.4 28.1 17.1 2.3 1.7 Raped 5.6 4.6 33.7 13.0 1.7 1.4 Sexually assaulted 0 0 0 0.6 0.6 0.6 Stalked 0.3 0.3 12.0 0 0.6 0.6 Accident 0.1 <0.1	Beaten up by someone else	5.7	4.1	16.6	6.1	31.6	16.3
Sexual violence 0.7 0.4 28.1 17.1 2.3 1.7 Raped 5.6 4.6 33.7 13.0 1.7 1.4 Sexually assaulted 0 0 0 0 0.6 0.6 0.6 Stalked 0.3 0.3 12.0 0 0.6 0.6 0.6 Accident 0.1 <0.1 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.6 0.4 0.4 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	Mugged or threatened with a weapon	0	0				
Raped 5.6 4.6 33.7 13.0 1.7 1.4 Sexually assaulted 0 0 0 0 0.6 0.6 Stalked 0.3 0.3 12.0 0 0.6 0.6 Accident 0.1 <0.1 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Other life threatening accident 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Man-made disaster 0 0 0 1.5 0.6 34.0 1.4.4 16.4 7.0 Death 1.5 0.6 34.0 14.4 16.4 7.0 Network events 0.8 0.6 63.6 38.3 13.3 9.6 Child with serious illness 4.4 4.2 45.6 3.2 9.1 8.9 Traumatic event to loved one 1.1 1.4 12.0 0 0.7 0.8	Sexual violence	0.7	0.4	28.1	17.1	2.3	1.7
Sexually assulted 0 0 Stalked 0.3 0.3 12.0 0 0.6 0.6 Accident 0.1 <0.1 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Natural disaster 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Man-made disaster 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 0 Man-made disaster 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 0 1.5 0.5 Death 1.5 0.6 34.0 1.44 16.4 7.0 Unexpected death of loved one 1.5 0.6 34.0 11.7 16.4 7.0 Network events 0.8 0.6 63.6 38.3 13.3 9.6 Child with serious illness 4.4 4.2 45.6 3.2 9.1	Raped	5.6	4.6	33.7	13.0	1.7	1.4
Stalked 0.3 0.3 12.0 0 0.6 0.6 Accident 0.1 <0.1 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Automobile accident 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 Other life threatening accident 0 0 0 0 0 Natural disaster 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Man-made disaster 0 0 0 0 1.5 0.5 0.5 Death 1.5 0.6 34.0 14.4 16.4 7.0 Unexpected death of loved one 1.5 0.6 34.0 11.7 16.4 7.0 Network events 0.8 0.6 63.6 38.3 13.3 9.6 Child with serious illness 4.4 4.2 45.6 3.2 9.1 8.9 Traumatic event to loved one 1.1 1.4 12.0 0 0.7 0.8 Witnessed death/dead body, or saw 0	Sexually assaulted	0	0				
Accident 0.1 <0.1 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 Toxic chemical exposure 0	Stalked	0.3	0.3	12.0	0	0.6	0.6
Toxic chemical exposure00Automobile accident00Other life threatening accident00Natural disaster00Man-made disaster00Life-threatening illness0.40.436.00Life-threatening illness0.40.634.014.416.4Death1.50.634.011.716.47.0Unexpected death of loved one1.50.663.638.313.39.6Child with serious illness4.44.245.63.29.18.9Traumatic event to loved one1.11.412.000.70.8Witnessed death/dead body, or saw0007.91.7Accidentally caused serious injury or death56.636.002.91.7	Accident	0.1	<0.1	36.0	0	1.5	0.5
Automobile accident00Other life threatening accident00Natural disaster00Man-made disaster00Life-threatening illness0.40.436.001.50.5Death1.50.634.014.4Unexpected death of loved one1.50.634.011.7Network events0.80.663.638.313.3Praumatic event to loved one1.11.412.000.7Network death/dead body, or saw00001.7Accidentally caused serious injury or death56.636.002.91.7	Toxic chemical exposure	0	0				
Other life threatening accident00Natural disaster00Man-made disaster00Life-threatening illness0.40.436.001.50.5Death1.50.634.014.416.47.0Unexpected death of loved one1.50.634.011.716.47.0Network events0.80.663.638.313.39.6Child with serious illness4.44.245.63.29.18.9Traumatic event to loved one1.11.412.000.70.8Witnessed death/dead body, or saw0002.91.7Accidentally caused serious injury or death56.636.002.91.7	Automobile accident	0	0				
Natural disaster 0 0 Man-made disaster 0 0 Life-threatening illness 0.4 0.4 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 Death 1.5 0.6 34.0 14.4 16.4 7.0 Unexpected death of loved one 1.5 0.6 34.0 11.7 16.4 7.0 Network events 0.8 0.6 63.6 38.3 13.3 9.6 Child with serious illness 4.4 4.2 45.6 3.2 9.1 8.9 Traumatic event to loved one 1.1 1.4 12.0 0 0.7 0.8 Witnessed death/dead body, or saw 0 0 2.9 1.7 Accidentally caused serious injury or death 5 66 36.0 0 2.9 1.7	Other life threatening accident	0	0				
Man-made disaster 0 0 Life-threatening illness 0.4 0.4 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 Death 1.5 0.6 34.0 14.4 16.4 7.0 Unexpected death of loved one 1.5 0.6 34.0 11.7 16.4 7.0 Network events 0.8 0.6 63.6 38.3 13.3 9.6 Child with serious illness 4.4 4.2 45.6 3.2 9.1 8.9 Traumatic event to loved one 1.1 1.4 12.0 0 0.7 0.8 Witnessed death/dead body, or saw 0 0 0 7.9 1.7 Accidentally caused serious injury or death 5 6.6 36.0 0 2.9 1.7	Natural disaster	0	0				
Life-threatening illness 0.4 0.4 36.0 0 1.5 0.5 Death 1.5 0.6 34.0 14.4 16.4 7.0 Unexpected death of loved one 1.5 0.6 34.0 11.7 16.4 7.0 Network events 0.8 0.6 63.6 38.3 13.3 9.6 Child with serious illness 4.4 4.2 45.6 3.2 9.1 8.9 Traumatic event to loved one 1.1 1.4 12.0 0 0.7 0.8 Witnessed death/dead body, or saw 0 0 0 7 0.8 0 0 1.7 Accidentally caused serious injury or death 5 6.6 36.0 0 2.9 1.7	Man-made disaster	0	0				
Death 1.5 0.6 34.0 14.4 16.4 7.0 Unexpected death of loved one 1.5 0.6 34.0 11.7 16.4 7.0 Network events 0.8 0.6 63.6 38.3 13.3 9.6 Child with serious illness 4.4 4.2 45.6 3.2 9.1 8.9 Traumatic event to loved one 1.1 1.4 12.0 0 0.7 0.8 Witnessed death/dead body, or saw 0 0 0 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.6 36.0 0.7 0.8 Accidentally caused serious injury or death 5 6.6 36.0 0 2.9 1.7	Life-threatening illness	0.4	0.4	36.0	0	1.5	0.5
Unexpected death of loved one1.50.634.011.716.47.0Network events0.80.663.638.313.39.6Child with serious illness4.44.245.63.29.18.9Traumatic event to loved one1.11.412.000.70.8Witnessed death/dead body, or saw someone serious phurt00001.7	Death	1.5	0.6	34.0	14.4	16.4	7.0
Network events0.80.663.638.313.39.6Child with serious illness4.44.245.63.29.18.9Traumatic event to loved one1.11.412.000.70.8Witnessed death/dead body, or saw00000.8someone seriously hurtAccidentally caused serious injury or death56.636.002.91.7	Unexpected death of loved one	1.5	0.6	34.0	11.7	16.4	7.0
Child with serious illness4.44.245.63.29.18.9Traumatic event to loved one1.11.412.000.70.8Witnessed death/dead body, or saw00000.70.8someone serious hurt56636.002.91.7	Network events	0.8	0.6	63.6	38.3	13.3	9.6
Traumatic event to loved one1.11.412.000.70.8Witnessed death/dead body, or saw00000someone seriously hurt6636.002.91.7	Child with serious illness	4.4	4.2	45.6	3.2	9.1	8.9
Witnessed death/dead body, or saw 0 0 someone seriously hurt 66 360 0 29 17	Traumatic event to loved one	1.1	1.4	12.0	0	0.7	0.8
Accidentally caused serious injury or death 5 66 360 0 29 17	Witnessed death/dead body, or saw someone seriously hurt	0	0				
	Accidentally caused serious injury or death	5	6.6	36.0	0	2.9	1.7
Witnessed physical fight at home 0.2 0.2 588.0 0 0.5 0.2	Witnessed physical fight at home	0.2	0.2	588.0	0	0.5	0.2
Other 7.5 2.8 101.1 60.2 32.2 11.5	Other	7.5	2.8	101.1	60.2	32.2	11.5
Some other event 9.6 4.3 9.6 3.5 13.0 6.4	Some other event	9.6	4.3	9.6	3.5	13.0	6.4
Private event 6.5 3.7 166.1 86.1 19.2 10.4	Private event	6.5	3.7	166.1	86.1	19.2	10.4
Total with any event 1.6 1.0 57.3 52.3 100 0	Total with any event	1.6	1.0	57.3	52.3	100	0

^a No PTSD case was observed with any war event.

^b Probability of PTSD among events of this class ($\chi_6 = 2.1, p = 0.074$) or individual type of trauma ($\chi_{27} = 10.2, p < 0.001$).

^c Mean duration of PTSD episode (or residual symptoms, in months) for episodes associated with events in this class ($\chi_4 = 3.4$, p = 0.496) or individual type of trauma

($\chi_7 = 111.1$, p < 0.001). ^d Percentage of all months lived with PTSD associated with events in this class or individual type of trauma.

Table 3

Associations of socio-demographic factors with lifetime PTSD in the World Mental Health Japan Surveys (part 2 sample, n = 1682).

	Model 1: Predict exposure to any traumatic event in the total sample		Model 2: Predict lifetime PTSD in total sample		Model 3: Predict lifetime PTSD among respondents with events		Model 4: Predict lifetime PTSD among respondents with events, control for events	
	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Gender Male Female Chi-square, <i>p</i> -value, DF	1.00 0.82 1.20	(0.58, –1.18) p = 0.27, DF = 1	1.00 4.93 6.08	(1.34, -18.10) p = 0.01, DF = 1	1.00 2.65 1.16	(0.43, -16.43) p = 0.28, DF = 1	1.00 5.78 9.50	(1.84, -18.14) P < 0.01, DF = 1
Age at survey (years) 18–29 30–44	0.69 0.90	(0.35, -1.35) (0.57, -1.43)	5.22 3.32	(1.51, -18.06) (1.13, -9.77)	4.26 2.89	(1.38, -13.12) (0.64, -13.06)	2.22 2.49	(0.45, -11.02) (0.64, -9.67)
45–59 60+ Chi-square, <i>p</i> -value, DF	0.75 1.00 2.38	(0.48, -1.18) p = 0.50, DF = 3	1.51 1.00 13.17	(0.40, -5.68) p < 0.01, DF = 3	3.52 1.00 9.24	(0.85, -14.62) p = 0.03, DF = 3	2.74 1.00 3.61	(0.72, -10.48) p = 0.31, DF = 3
Marital status Married Previously married Never married Chi-square,p-value,DF	1.00 1.55 0.99 5.19	(1.03, -2.33) (0.57, -1.71) <i>p</i> = 0.08, DF = 2	1.00 1.19 1.61 1.61	(0.37, -3.83) (0.58, -4.45) p = 0.45, DF = 2	1.00 0.51 1.17 1.65	(0.17, -1.57) (0.33, -4.13) p = 0.44, DF = 2	1.00 0.35 1.66 2.18	(0.07, -1.75) (0.31, -8.82) p = 0.34, DF = 2
<i>Education</i> Low Low-average High-average High Chi-square, <i>p</i> -value, DF	1.17 1.19 1.02 1.00 0.84	(0.69, -1.98) (0.77, -1.85) (0.64, -1.62) <i>p</i> = 0.84, DF = 3	0.98 0.60 0.72 1.00 1.21	(0.14, -6.94) (0.18, -1.99) (0.20, -2.58) p = 0.75, DF = 3	1.09 1.29 1.34 1.00 0.22	(0.14, -8.36) (0.15, -11.51) (0.28, -6.38) p = 0.98, DF = 3	0.55 1.54 1.56 1.00 1.51	(0.05, -5.67) (0.24, -10.03) (0.30, -8.22) p = 0.68, DF = 3
Employment Working Student Homemaker Retired Other incl unemployed Chi-square, <i>p</i> -value, DF	1.00 0.90 0.75 1.37 1.96 11.06	$\begin{array}{l} (0.18, -4.46) \\ (0.47, -1.19) \\ (0.84, -2.23) \\ (1.11, -3.45) \\ p = 0.03, \mathrm{DF} = 4 \end{array}$	1.00 0.34 0.94 2.29 1.03 3.10	$\begin{array}{l} (0.03, -4.21) \\ (0.27, -3.25) \\ (0.68, -7.67) \\ (0.20, -5.30) \\ p = 0.54, \mathrm{DF} = 4 \end{array}$	1.00 0.24 0.69 1.27 0.45 3.76	$\begin{array}{c} (0.03, -2.11) \\ (0.10, -4.64) \\ (0.29, -5.48) \\ (0.10, -1.99) \\ p = 0.44, \mathrm{DF} = 4 \end{array}$	1.00 0.42 1.18 1.49 0.53 1.47	(0.03, -5.19) (0.17, -8.43) (0.32, -6.92) (0.12, -2.36) p = 0.83, DF = 4
Type of random or worst even Physical violence Sexual violence Death Network events Other or private incl war events & accidents Chi-square, <i>p</i> -value, DF	t that was ass	essed for PTSD					6.69 0.20 1.00 0.56 1.74 7.90	(1.16, -38.69) (0.03, -1.58) (0.17, -1.86) (0.35, -8.70) p = 0.10, DF = 4
Count of prior events Prior physical violence Prior sexual violence Prior deaths Prior network events Prior other events incl war events & accidents Chi-square, <i>p</i> -value, DF							1.20 1.62 0.75 1.66 0.77 23.02	$\begin{array}{l} (0.86, -1.67) \\ (0.90, -2.91) \\ (0.28, -2.00) \\ (1.10, -2.50) \\ (0.44, -1.34) \\ p < 0.01, \text{DF} = 5 \end{array}$

Network events and "other events" were associated with longer mean duration of PTSD (63.6 and 101.1 months, respectively). For individual events, witnessing physical fight at home and beaten up by caregiver were associated with longer mean duration of PTSD (309.0 and 588.0 months, respectively), followed by private event (166.1 months).

The third column of Table 2 shows the proportion of PTSD months in the population accounted for by a traumatic event class/ individual event, which was calculated based on the prevalence of each traumatic event, the conditional risk of PTSD associated for that event, and the duration (months) of PTSD symptoms. Physical violence and "other event" accounted for the largest proportions (34.4% and 32.2%, respectively) of PTSD months, followed by death (16.4%) and network events (13.3%). For individual events, being

beaten up by someone else accounted the largest proportion (31.6%), followed by private event (19.2%) and unexpected death of loved one (16.4%). The proportion of PTSD months accounted for by rape was only 1.7%. No PTSD cases were reported associated with automobile accidents or natural disaster.

3.4. Socio-demographic predictors of lifetime PTSD

The model 1 in Table 3 shows predictors of any traumatic event. Those who previously married (compared with those currently married) and those with other employment status (compared with those currently working) had a significantly greater risk of having a traumatic event. The models 2–4 in Table 3 shows predictors of lifetime PTSD. Women (compared with men) and younger respondents (compared with respondents aged 65 or older) had a significantly greater risk of PTSD (model 2). When we limited respondents to those who experienced at least one lifetime traumatic event, the youngest respondents still had a significantly higher risk of lifetime PTSD (OR = 4.3) (model 3). Additionally controlling for exposure to classes of traumatic events, women had a significantly elevated risk (OR = 5.8), while age was no longer significantly associated. Physical violence and the count of prior network events were significantly associated with PTSD (OR = 6.7 and 1.7, respectively) after adjusting for all other covariates (model 4).

4. Discussion

4.1. Prevalences of trauma exposure and PTSD in Japan

Approximately sixty percent of the respondents reported exposure to at least one traumatic event in their lifetime. This is comparable to the proportion of people who experienced traumatic events in the lifetime (51–83%) in US (Kessler et al., 1995), Mexico (Norris et al., 2003), Australia (Mills et al., 2011), New Zealand (Mills et al., 2011), and South Africa (Atwoli et al., 2013; Seedat et al., 2009). Like these previous studies, witnessing physical fight and life-threatening illness were relatively prevalent in this Japanese sample. However, reported frequencies of these events were lower in this sample, which may be partly attributable to lower crime rates in Japan (OECD., 2009). Unexpected death of loved one was reported relatively frequently in this sample, which is a similar pattern to that observed in other countries (Atwoli et al., 2013; Mills et al., 2011; Norris et al., 2003; Pietrzak et al., 2011).

In this sample, the 12-month prevalence was almost double compared to one which we previously reported using a part of the sample (Kawakami et al., 2005). This may be attributable to the fact that we include PTSD associated with a random event in this study. The lifetime and 12-month prevalences of PTSD were very comparable with ones reported from Korea and China (Cho et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2006), but was much lower than in the US (Kessler et al., 2005b), Mexico (Norris et al., 2003), Australia (Chapman et al., 2012; Creamer et al., 2001), New Zealand (Oakley Browne et al., 2006; Wells et al., 2006), and South Africa (Atwoli et al., 2013; Seedat et al., 2009). This lower prevalence may be largely explained by a lower conditional risk of lifetime PTSD among respondents with any traumatic event (1.6%) than other countries (Atwoli et al., 2013; Breslau et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 1995; Norris et al., 2003; Roberts et al., 2011), as well as the differential distribution of traumatic events noted above to some extent.

4.2. Event-specifc conditional risk of PTSD

A higher event-specific conditional risk of PTSD (3.4–5.7%) was observed for physical violence compared to the other event classes, which accounted for 34% of all PTSD months. The pattern is consistent with previous reports, but the conditional risk itself was lower than previous studies (Atwoli et al., 2013; Breslau et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 1995; Norris et al., 2003). On the other hand, having a child with serious illness was associated with a higher conditional risk of 4.4% and accounted for 16% of PTSD months in this study, showing a greater impact of this event than that reported in other countries (Atwoli et al., 2013; Breslau et al., 1998; Norris et al., 2003). This is consistent with a greater probability of PTSD for this event reported among Japanese (Nagata et al., 2008). Firm adherence of Japanese couple to one's role as a parent and a closer relationship between parents, especially mothers, and a child in Japan may explain this pattern (Fogel et al., 1992; Rothbaum et al., 2002).

One tenth of respondents reported "private events" for which they were not required to report a specific description. The prevalence was higher than those in other countries such as Australia and South Africa (4-5%)(Atwoli et al., 2013; Mills et al., 2011). On the other hand, the private events were associated with a higher conditional risk (6.5%) of PTSD and accounted for 19% of PTSD months in this study, which are far greater than in studies in South Africa (Atwoli et al., 2013). A similar pattern was observed for the "other events". There is a possibility of underreporting of some events because of stigma and embarrassment (Dussich, 2001). Some of the traumatic events not reported using the list of trauma exposure, possibly severe and more stigmatized events, may have been instead reported as private events. This may explain the relatively high prevalence of reporting of private or other events and the high conditional risk of PTSD associated with these events. The present finding suggests an importance of including the "private event" category in asking trauma exposure in a cross-country survey to capture an important, but culturally underreported, traumatic event and its impact on PTSD.

Rape was associated with a higher probability of PTSD in this study, but the conditional risk was far lower than those in other countries (Atwoli et al., 2013; Breslau et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 1995; Norris et al., 2003). As noted earlier, the lifetime prevalence of rape was very low in this sample. As a result, rape accounted for only 2% of PTSD cases. While victims of rape are still an important target of treatment of PTSD, its impact on the population burden of PTSD may be limited in a country like Japan, where the rate of sexual violence is low. However, given that rape is highly a stigmatized experience in Japan, it may have been underreported, or have been reported as a "private event" (Dussich, 2001). No PTSD cases were reported associated with automobile accidents, while automobile accidents have been reported to be associated with PTSD in Japan (Hamanaka et al., 2006; Matsuoka et al., 2008; Nishi et al., 2013). This is probably because the severity of automobile accidents is highly variable and severe automobile accidents may be less prevalent in Japan. Despite 5.4% of the sample reporting natural disaster, there was no PTSD case associated with natural disaster. This is again in contrast to previous findings in Japan (Fukuda et al., 2000; Goto et al., 2002; Kato et al., 2000; Kuwabara et al., 2008), while one study reported no PTSD case following an earthquake (Suzuki et al., 2011). The prevalence of natural disaster in this sample was almost one third of the prevalence reported in the US (Breslau et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 1995). This study was done before the Great East Japan Earthquake in March, 2011, and the survey areas did not include areas affected by other recent major earthquakes. The prevalence of natural disaster and a probability of PTSD associated with this event may be underestimated in this study. A future study of a national representative sample of Japan, considering the severity and contextual effects of automobile accidents and natural disasters is warranted.

The mean duration of PTSD in this study was 57 months, which is similar to a median duration (60 months) reported from the US (Kessler et al., 1995), but shorter than one (14 years) from Australia (Chapman et al., 2012). The duration was substantially longer for witnessing physical fight at home and being beaten up by caregiver, which contributed to increased relative PTSD burden (percentage of PTSD months) associated with these events. Given these events occurred in early life, victims may receive greater influence by the events or suffer from PTSD for a longer period.

4.3. Socio-demographic predictors of lifetime and 12-month PTSD

In this study, those who previously married and those with other employment status including unemployment had a significantly greater risk of having traumatic exposure. Women and younger respondents had a significantly greater risk of PTSD. After we limited the respondents to those who experienced at least one lifetime trauma event and adjusted for exposure to traumatic events, women had a significantly elevated risk of lifetime PTSD, which is consistent with previous findings in the US (Breslau et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 1995). On the other hand, younger age seems to be associated with PTSD, partly mediated by trauma exposure. Count of prior events, particularly prior network events, was associated with lifetime PTSD, as indicated by a previous study (Breslau et al., 1999).

4.4. Limitations

Several limitations should be considered in the interpretation of the data. First, our response rate was not high. The prevalence of trauma and PTSD may be over- or underestimated. Second, respondents may have not reported specific traumas due to fear of stigma or embarrassment associated with these ones (Dussich, 2001). Third, we did not consider comorbid mental disorders in analyzing the association between traumas and PTSD. Future studies may need to address impact of traumas on other mental disorders, such as depressive disorders. Fourth, our assessment of traumas and PTSD was based on self-reported retrospective data. Respondents may have not been able to recall their experience correctly, e.g., attributing a PTSD episode to a different trauma. Fifth, we combined PTSD associated with a worst event and that associated with a random event to increase PTSD cases, which was different from a previous study that used only a worst event (Kessler et al., 1995) and one which used only a random event (Breslau et al., 1998). The worst event approach is commonly used in epidemiological surveys but it makes it impossible to draw inferences about PTSD risk associated with typical traumas because worst traumas are atypical and associated with risk of PTSD presumably higher than for more typical traumas (Breslau et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 1995; Norris et al., 2003). With appropriate weighting of responses to random evens, we were able to estimate the population prevalence and distribution of lifetime PTSD associated with the wide range of traumas assessed in the survey. However, the use of different methods makes comparisons to prior publications difficult. Diagnoses, preparation of indicators, and analyses should be done in a standard way in future studies.

Despite of these limitations, the present community-based study confirmed that trauma exposures were prevalent in Japan as in other countries, but with a smaller risk of PTSD associated with these exposures. The lower prevalence of PTSD in Japan seems mainly attributable to lower conditional risks of PTSD following these events. The greater impact of events that occurred to loved ones rather than to oneself and that of "private events" on PTSD may reflect a Japanese cultural context in regard to family values and stigma and embarrassment associated with some traumatic events. The findings warrant further research of cross-cultural assessment of trauma exposure and cultural heterogeneity in the trauma-PTSD relationship.

Conflict of interests

Although there seems to be no actual conflict of interest, the potential conflict of interest is to be addressed as follows. N. Kawakami has been a consultant for Sekisui Chemical, Co., Ltd., Junpukai Health Care, Ds's Mental Health Labo, Ltd., and Riken Institute. He has had research support for his epidemiological studies from Fujitsu Infosoft Technologies, Ltd., Tak, Ltd., and NEC Soft, Ltd. R. Kessler has been a consultant for AstraZeneca, Analysis Group, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cerner-Galt Associates, Eli Lilly & Company, GlaxoSmithKline Inc., HealthCore Inc., Health Dialog, Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., Integrated Benefits Institute, John Snow Inc., Kaiser Permanente, Matria Inc., Mensante, Merck & Co, Inc., Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs, Pfizer Inc., Primary Care Network, Research Triangle Institute, Sanofi-Aventis Groupe, Shire US Inc., SRA International, Inc., Takeda Global Research & Development, Transcept Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Wyeth-Ayerst. He has served on advisory boards for Appliance Computing II, Eli Lilly & Company, Mindsite, Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs, Johnson & Johnson, Plus One Health Management and Wyeth-Ayerst. He has had research support for his epidemiological studies from Analysis Group Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly & Company, EPI-Q, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceuticals, Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs, Pfizer Inc., Sanofi-Aventis Groupe, Shire US, Inc., and Walgreens Co. He owns 25% share in DataStat, Inc. None of the other authors have a conflict of interest.

Contributors

N. Kawakami designed the study, collected data, conducted the literature review and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. M. Tsuchiya conducted statistical analysis. M. Umeda conducted literature search. K. Koenen designed the study. R. Kessler designed the study and conducted statistical analysis. All author collected data, and contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Role of funding source

The WMH Japan 2002–2006 Survey was supported by the Grant for Research on Psychiatric and Neurological Diseases and Mental Health from the Japan Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare (H13-SHOGAI-023, H14-TOKUBETSU-026, H16-KOKORO-013, H19-KOKORO-IPPAN-011, H20-KOKORO-IPPAN-009). The survey was carried out in conjunction with the World Health Organization World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative which is supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH; R01 MH070884 and R01 MH093612-01), the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Pfizer Foundation, the US Public Health Service (R13-MH066849, R01-MH069864, and R01 DA016558), the Fogarty International Center (FIRCA R03-TW006481), the Pan American Health Organization, Eli Lilly and Company, Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, GlaxoSmithKline, and Bristol-Myers Squibb. We thank the staff of the WMH Data Collection and Data Analysis Coordination Centres for assistance with instrumentation, fieldwork, and consultation on data analysis. None of the funders had any role in the design, analysis, interpretation of results, or preparation of this paper. A complete list of all within-country and cross-national WMH publications can be found at http://www.hcp.med.harvard. edu/wmh/.

Acknowledgment

The WMH-J 2002–2006 Survey Group members other than those listed in the author byline are as follows: Yutaka Ono, MD (Center for Cognitive Behavior Therapy and Research, National Center for Neurology and Psychiatry, Japan), Yoshibumi Nakane, MD (Dejima Shinryosho [Dejima Mental Clinic], Nagasaki, Japan, and Prof. Emeritus of Nagasaki University), Yosikazu Nakamura, MD, MPH, FFPH (Department of Public Health, Jichi Medical University), Akira Fukao, MD (Department of Public Health, Yamagata University, Graduate School of Medical Science), Itsuko Horiguchi, PhD (Department of Public Health, Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine), Hisateru Tachimori, PhD (National Institute of Mental Health, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry), Noboru Iwata, PhD (Department of Clinical Psychology, Hiroshima International University), Hidenori Uda, MD (Health, Social Welfare, and Environmental Department, Kagoshima Regional Promotion Bureau, Kagoshima Prefecture), Hideyuki Nakane, MD (Department of Psychiatric Rehabilitation Science, Unit of Rehabilitation Sciences, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences), Makoto Watanabe, MD, PhD (Department of Preventive Cardiology, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center), Masashi Oorui, MD (Sendai City Mental Health and Welfare Center), Kazushi Funavama, MD, PhD (Yokohama City Turumi Public Health and Welfare Center), Yoichi Naganuma, PSW, MSc (National Institute of Mental Health, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry), Toshiaki A. Furukawa, MD (Department of Health Promotion and Human Behavior, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine), Masayo Kobayashi, MD (Department of Public Health, Jichi Medical University), Tadayuki Ahiko, MD (Yamagata Prefectural Institute of Public Health, Yamagata Prefecture), Yuko Yamamoto, PhD candidate (Department of Public Health, Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine), Tadashi Takeshima, MD (National Institute of Mental Health, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry), Takehiko Kikkawa, MD (Seisen Jogakuin College).

References

- Akechi T, Okuyama T, Sugawara Y, Nakano T, Shima Y, Uchitomi Y. Major depression, adjustment disorders, and post-traumatic stress disorder in terminally ill cancer patients: associated and predictive factors. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:1957–65.
- Atwoli L, Stein DJ, Williams DR, McLaughlin KA, Petukhova M, Kessler RC, et al. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in South Africa: analysis from the South African stress and health study. BMC Psychiatry 2013;13: 182.
- Breslau N, Chilcoat HD, Kessler RC, Davis GC. Previous exposure to trauma and PTSD effects of subsequent trauma: results from the Detroit area survey of trauma. Am J Psychiatry 1999;156:902–7.
- Breslau N, Kessler RC, Chilcoat HD, Schultz LR, Davis GC, Andreski P. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in the community: the 1996 Detroit area survey of trauma. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1998;55:626–32.
- Bruce SE, Weisberg RB, Dolan RT, Machan JT, Kessler RC, Manchester G, et al. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in primary care patients. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry 2001;3:211–7.
- Carey PD, Stein DJ, Zungu-Dirwayi N, Seedat S. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in an urban Xhosa primary care population: prevalence, comorbidity, and service use patterns. | Nerv Ment Dis 2003;191:230–6.
- Chapman C, Mills K, Slade T, McFarlane AC, Bryant RA, Creamer M, et al. Remission from post-traumatic stress disorder in the general population. Psychol Med 2012;42:1695–703.
- Cho MJ, Kim JK, Jeon HJ, Suh T, Chung IW, Hong JP, et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-IV psychiatric disorders among Korean adults. J Nerv Ment Dis 2007;195:203–10.
- Creamer M, Burgess P, McFarlane AC. Post-traumatic stress disorder: findings from the Australian national survey of mental health and well-being. Psychol Med 2001;31:1237–47.
- Dussich J. Decisions not to report sexual assault: a comparative study among women living in Japan who are Japanese, Korean, Chinese, and Englishspeaking. Int J Offender Ther Comp Criminol 2001;45:278–301.
- Fogel A, Stevenson M, Daniel M. A comparison of the parent—child relationship in Japan and the United States. In: Roopnarine JL, Carter DB, editors. Parent—child socialization in diverse cultures: annual advances in applied developmental psychology. Norwood: Ablex Publishing; 1992. pp. 35—51.
- Fukuda S, Morimoto K, Mure K, Maruyama S. Effect of the Hanshin-Awaji earthquake on posttraumatic stress, lifestyle changes, and cortisol levels of victims. Arch Environ Health 2000;55:121–5.
- Goto T, Wilson JP, Kahana B, Slane S. PTSD, depression and help-seeking patterns following the Miyake Island volcanic eruption. Int J Emerg Ment Health 2002;4: 157–71.
- Hamanaka S, Asukai N, Kamijo Y, Hatta K, Kishimoto J, Miyaoka H. Acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms among patients severely injured in motor vehicle accidents in Japan. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2006;28:234–41.
- Karam EG, Friedman MJ, Hill ED, Kessler RC, McLaughlin KA, Petukhova M, et al. Cumulative traumas and risk thresholds: 12-month Ptsd in the world mental health (Wmh) surveys. Depress Anxiety 2014;31:130–42.
- Kato H, Iwai K. Posttraumatic stress disorder after the Great Hanshin-Awaji earthquake: assessment by the structured interview to the survivors. Med J Kobe Univ 2000;60:147–55 [in Japanese)].
- Kawakami N, Takeshima T, Ono Y, Uda H, Hata Y, Nakane Y, et al. Twelve-month prevalence, severity, and treatment of common mental disorders in communities in Japan: preliminary finding from the World Mental Health Japan Survey 2002–2003. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2005;59:441–52.

- Kawana N, Ishimatsu S, Kanda K. Psycho-physiological effects of the terrorist sarin attack on the Tokyo subway system. Mil Med 2001;166:23-6.
- Kessler RC, Angermeyer M, Anthony JC, DEG R, Demyttenaere K, Gasquet I, et al. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of mental disorders in the World Health Organization's World Mental Health Survey initiative. World Psychiatry 2007;6:168–76.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005a;62:593–602.
- Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005b;62:617–27.
- Kessler RC, Haro JM, Heeringa SG, Pennell BE, Ustun TB. The world health organization world mental health survey initiative. Epidemiol Psichiatr Soc 2006;15: 161–6.
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1995;52: 1048–60.
- Kessler RC, Ustun TB. The world mental health (WMH) survey initiative version of the world health organization (WHO) composite international diagnostic interview (CIDI). Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2004;13:93–121.
- Kessler RC, Wang PS. The descriptive epidemiology of commonly occurring mental disorders in the United States. Annu Rev Public Health 2008;29:115–29.
- Kuwabara H, Shioiri T, Toyabe S, Kawamura T, Koizumi M, Ito-Sawamura M, et al. Factors impacting on psychological distress and recovery after the 2004 Niigata-Chuetsu earthquake, Japan: community-based study. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2008;62:503-7.
- Matsuoka Y, Nishi D, Nakajima S, Kim Y, Homma M, Otomo Y. Incidence and prediction of psychiatric morbidity after a motor vehicle accident in Japan: the Tachikawa Cohort of Motor Vehicle Accident Study. Crit Care Med 2008;36:74–80.
- Matsuoka Y, Nishi D, Yonemoto N, Nakajima S, Kim Y. Towards an explanation of inconsistent rates of posttraumatic stress disorder across different countries: infant mortality rate as a marker of social circumstances and basic population health. Psychother Psychosom 2010;79:56–7.
- Mills KL, McFarlane AC, Slade T, Creamer M, Silove D, Teesson M, et al. Assessing the prevalence of trauma exposure in epidemiological surveys. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2011;45:407–15.
- Mizuta I, Ikuno T, Shimai S, Hirotsune H, Ogasawara M, Ogawa A, et al. The prevalence of traumatic events in young Japanese women. J Trauma Stress 2005;18: 33–7.
- Nagata S, Funakosi S, Amae S, Yoshida S, Ambo H, Kudo A, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder in mothers of children who have undergone surgery for congenital disease at a pediatric surgery department. J Pediatr Surg 2008;43:1480–6.
- Nishi D, Noguchi H, Yonemoto N, Nakajima S, Kim Y, Matsuoka Y. Incidence and prediction of post-traumatic stress disorder at 6 months after motor vehicle accidents in Japan. Psychosomatics 2013;54:263–71.
- Norris FH, Murphy AD, Baker CK, Perilla JL, Rodriguez FG, Rodriguez Jde J. Epidemiology of trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in Mexico. J Abnorm Psychol 2003;112:646–56.
- Oakley Browne MA, Wells JE, Scott KM, McGee MA. Lifetime prevalence and projected lifetime risk of DSM-IV disorders in Te Rau Hinengaro: the New Zealand Mental Health Survey. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2006;40:865–74.
- OECD. Society at a glance 2009: OECD social indicators. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2009.
- Ohta Y. Long-term psychological effects among atomic bomb survivors. Jpn J Clin Psychiatry 2002;31:146–50 [in Japanese)].
- Ohtani T, Iwanami A, Kasai K, Yamasue H, Kato T, Sasaki T, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in victims of Tokyo subway attack: a 5-year follow-up study. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2004;58:624–9.
- Pietrzak RH, Goldstein RB, Southwick SM, Grant BF. Prevalence and Axis I comorbidity of full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder in the United States: results from wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on alcohol and related conditions. J Anxiety Disord 2011;25:456–65.
- Roberts AL, Gilman SE, Breslau J, Breslau N, Koenen KC. Race/ethnic differences in exposure to traumatic events, development of post-traumatic stress disorder, and treatment-seeking for post-traumatic stress disorder in the United States. Psychol Med 2011;41:71–83.
- Rothbaum F, Rosen K, Ujiie T, Uchida N. Family systems theory, attachment theory, and culture. Fam Process 2002;41:328–50.
- Seedat S, Stein DJ, Jackson PB, Heeringa SG, Williams DR, Myer L. Life stress and mental disorders in the South African stress and health study. S Afr Med J 2009;99:375–82.
- Shen YC, Zhang MY, Huang YQ, He YL, Liu ZR, Cheng H, et al. Twelve-month prevalence, severity, and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in metropolitan China. Psychol Med 2006;36:257–67.
- Shirai A, Kimura Y, Konishi T. Posttraumatie stress disorder in traumatic bereavement. Jpn J Trauma Stress 2005;3:181–8 [Japanese)].
- SUDAAN. SUDAAN 9.0.2. professional software for survey data analysis. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute; 2005.
- Suzuki Y, Tsutsumi A, Fukasawa M, Honma H, Someya T, Kim Y. Prevalence of mental disorders and suicidal thoughts among community-dwelling elderly adults 3 years after the niigata-chuetsu earthquake. J Epidemiol 2011;21: 144–50.

- United Nations University Institute for Environment and Human Security. World risk report 2012. Berline: Alliance Development Works; 2012.
 Wells JE, Oakley Browne MA, Scott KM, McGee MA, Baxter J, Kokaua J. Te Rau Hinengaro: the New Zealand mental health survey: overview of methods and findings. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2006;40:835–44.
- Wolter K. Introduction to variance estimation. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1985. Zlotnick C, Johnson J, Kohn R, Vicente B, Rioseco P, Saldivia S. Epidemiology of trauma, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and co-morbid disorders in Chile. Psychol Med 2006;36:1523–33.